1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON				
9	AT SEATTLE				
10	MICROSOFT CORPORATION,	NO			
11	Plaintiff,	NO.			
12	VS.	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF			
13	INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE,				
14	Defendant.				
15					
16	Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation ("Plain	ntiff" or "Microsoft") brings this action for			
17	declaratory and injunctive relief under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. §				
18	552, as amended, and the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), 5 U.S.C. § 701 et seq., and				
19	complains as follows:				
20	INTRODUCTION				
21	1. Plaintiff seeks to compel the disclosure of records that were unlawfully withhele				
22	by the Internal Revenue Service ("Defendant"	or "IRS").			
23	///				
24	///				
25	///				
	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND	LAW OFFICES			

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - 1

# JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B). 2 3 The Court also has jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 5 U.S.C. § 702. 4 3. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B). **PARTIES** 5 6 4. Plaintiff is a Washington corporation with its principal place of business located in Redmond, Washington. 7 5. 8 Defendant is an agency of the United States government within the meaning of 9 5 U.S.C. § 552(f)(1), headquartered in Washington, D.C., that has possession and control over 10 the records that Plaintiff seeks under the FOIA. STATUTORY FRAMEWORK 11 6. The FOIA requires federal government agencies to release requested agency 12 records to the public unless one or more specific statutory exemptions apply. 5 U.S.C. § 13 14 552(a)(3)(A). Records are agency records subject to the FOIA if the agency created or 15 7. 16 obtained them and the agency controlled them at the time the FOIA request is made. U.S.17 Dep't of Justice v. Tax Analysts, 492 U.S. 136, 144-45 (1989). 18 8. An agency has twenty (20) working days after receipt of a FOIA request in 19 which to determine whether to comply with the request. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A)(i). If the agency fails to respond, this Court has jurisdiction upon receipt of a complaint to review, de 20 21 novo, the agency's failure to respond and order the production of any agency records 22 improperly withheld from the requester. 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B). 23 /// 24 /// 25 ///

# STATEMENT OF FACTS

### A. Introduction

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 9. Defendant, a bureau of the Department of the Treasury, is responsible for the administration and enforcement of the federal tax laws.
- 10. Defendant is presently conducting an examination of Plaintiff's federal income tax returns for the tax years ended June 30, 2004 through June 30, 2009 (the "IRS Examinations").

## **B.** The Boies Schiller Contract

- 11. On September 19, 2013, Defendant and Boies Schiller & Flexner LLP ("Boies Schiller"), a law firm, entered into a contract in the amount of \$350,000 for Boies Schiller's provision of legal services. On May 13, 2015, a portion of the contract was produced to Plaintiff in response to another FOIA suit pending before this Court, 1 revealing that the IRS retained Boies Schiller to provide legal services in connection with the IRS Examinations. The contract provided that David Boies would be paid \$1250.00 per hour for his work and act as lead counsel in the engagement.
- 12. Boies Schiller has "over 200 lawyers practicing in offices across the country," and "regularly serve[s] as lead counsel in the most significant and highest profile disputes in the world." *About Us Firm Overview Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP*, http://www.bsfllp.com/about/firm\_overview.html (last visited May 29, 2015).

#### C. The Quinn Emanuel Contract

13. On May 19, 2014, Defendant and Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP ("Quinn Emanuel"), a law firm, entered into a contract in the amount of \$2,185,500 for Quinn Emanuel's provision of legal services to Defendant in connection with the IRS Examinations.

\_\_\_\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Microsoft Corp. v. Internal Revenue Service, No. 15-cv-00369-RSM (W.D. Wash).

- 1			
1	14.	Quinn Emanuel "is a 700+ attorney business litigation firm with 18 offices	
2	around the globe, each devoted solely to business litigation and arbitration." Quinn Emanuel:		
3	Overview, ht	tp://www.quinnemanuel.com/#4 (last visited May 29, 2015).	
4	D.	The Temporary and Proposed Treasury Regulations	
5	15.	On June 18, 2014, the IRS issued Temporary and Proposed Treasury	
6	Regulations purporting to allow IRS contractors, such as Boies Schiller and Quinn Emanuel, t		
7	question with	nesses pursuant to summons in IRS Examinations. T.D. 9669, 79 Fed. Reg. 34625	
8	(Jun. 18, 2014) ("Treas. Reg. § 301.7602-1T"); REG-121542-14, 79 Fed. Reg. 34668 (Jun. 18		
9	2014) ("Prop	o. Treas. Reg. § 301.7602-1").	
10	E.	The Summons	
11	16.	On October 30, 2014, the IRS served on Microsoft a designated summons	
12	pursuant to 26 U.S.C. § 6503(j).		
13	F.	Plaintiff's First FOIA Request	
14	17.	On March 12, 2015, Plaintiff's counsel prepared a FOIA request, on Plaintiff's	
15	behalf ("Plaintiff's First FOIA Request"). A true and correct copy of Plaintiff's First FOIA		
16	Request is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Plaintiff's First FOIA Request sought:		
17		(1) All documents exchanged between Quinn Emanuel and the IRS on or	
18		prior to May 19, 2014, in connection with the IRS's examination of Microsoft for any or all of Microsoft's tax years ended June 30, 2004	
19		through June 30, 2009.	
20		(2) All documents exchanged between or among any or all the following	
21		individuals, on or prior to May 19, 2014, relating to or referencing the potential engagement of Quinn Emanuel, its partners, and/or its	
22		employees in connection with the IRS examination of Microsoft for any or all of Microsoft's tax years ended June 30, 2004 through June 30,	
23		2009:	
24		(a) Cheryl Claybough, Industry Director, Large Business &	
25		International, Communications, Technology & Media	

1	(b)	Christopher Sterner, Deputy Chief Counsel (Operations), Office
2		of Chief Counsel
3	(c)	Drita Tonuzi, Associate Chief Counsel, Office of Chief Counsel, Procedure & Administration
4	(d)	Dustin Starbuck, Associate Chief Counsel, Office of Chief
5	(u)	Counsel, Finance & Management
6	(e)	Eli Hoory, Senior International Advisor, Large Business &
7		International, Transfer Pricing Operations
8	(f)	Heather Maloy, Commissioner, Large Business & International
9   10	(g)	Kimberly Edwards, Director, Field Operations, Large Business & International, Communications, Technology & Media
11	(h)	Linda Kroening, Division Counsel, Office of Chief Counsel,
		Large Business & International
12 13	(i)	Mark Kaizen, Associate Chief Counsel, Office of Chief Counsel General Legal Services
14	(j)	Michael Danilack, Former Deputy Commissioner (International)
15		Large Business & International
16	(k)	Nancy Bronson, Territory Manager (West), Large Business & International, Transfer Pricing Practice
17	(1)	Robert Ratchford, Former Deputy Area Counsel (SL), Office of
18	(,	Chief Counsel, Large Business & International
19	(m)	Samuel Maruca, Former Director of Transfer Pricing Operations
20		Large Business & International, Transfer Pricing Operations
21	(n)	Thomas Vidano, Deputy Division Counsel (International), Office of Chief Counsel, Large Business & International
22		of Chief Counsel, Large Business & International
23	(0)	William Merkle, Area Counsel (Retailers, Food, Transportation & Healthcare), Office of Chief Counsel, Large Business &
24		International
25		

1		(p) William Sabin, Senior Counsel, Office of Chief Counsel, Large
2		Business & International
3		(q) William Wilkins, Chief Counsel, Office of Chief Counsel
4	(3)	All documents exchanged between the IRS (including the IRS Office of
5		Chief Counsel) and the Office of the General Counsel, Department of the Treasury, relating to or referencing the potential or actual
6		engagement of Quinn Emanuel, its partners, and/or its employees in connection with the IRS examination of Microsoft for any or all of Microsoft's tax years ended June 30, 2004 through June 30, 2009.
7		Wilcrosoft's tax years ended Julie 30, 2004 tillough Julie 30, 2009.
8	(4)	All documents exchanged between the IRS (including the IRS Office of Chief Counsel) and the Office of Tax Policy, Department of the
9 10		Treasury, relating to or referencing the potential or actual engagement of Quinn Emanuel, its partners, and/or its employees in connection with the
11		IRS examination of Microsoft for any or all of Microsoft's tax years ended June 30, 2004 through June 30, 2009.
12	(5)	All documents exchanged between the IRS (including the IRS Office of Chief Counsel) and the Office of Legal Counsel, Department of Justice,
13		relating to or referencing the potential or actual engagement of Quinn Emanuel, its partners, and/or its employees in connection with the IRS
14 15		examination of Microsoft for any or all of Microsoft's tax years ended June 30, 2004 through June 30, 2009.
	(6)	All documents exchanged between the IRS (including the IRS Office of
16 17	(0)	Chief Counsel) and the Tax Division, Department of Justice, relating to or referencing the potential or actual engagement of Quinn Emanuel, its
18		partners, and/or its employees in connection with the IRS examination of Microsoft for any or all of Microsoft's tax years ended June 30, 2004
19		through June 30, 2009.
20	(7)	All documents constituting the "initial package of documentation" provided or made available by the IRS to Quinn Emanuel under TIRNE-
21		14-C-00013.
22	(8)	All documents relating to or referencing the "in-person verbal detailed
23		briefing" provided by Quinn Emanuel to the IRS under TIRNE-14-C-00013.
24	///	
25	///	

1		(9)	All documents relating to or referencing the "verbally report[ed]
2			conclusions and findings" provided by Quinn Emanuel to the IRS under TIRNE-14-C-00013.
3		(10)	All documents constituting the "written summar[ies] or report[s] on
4		(10)	identified sub-issues" provided by Quinn Emanuel to the IRS under TIRNE-14-C-00013.
5			
6		(11)	All documents relating to or referencing the "oral recommendations" provided by Quinn Emanuel to the IRS under TIRNE-14-C-00013.
7 8		(12)	All documents constituting the "invoices" prepared by Quinn Emanuel under TIRNE-14-C-00013.
9		(13)	All documents constituting the "written status report[s]" prepared by
10			Quinn Emanuel under TIRNE-14-C-00013.
11		(14)	All documents constituting "the report to the COR" provided by Quinn Emanuel to the IRS under TIRNE-14-C-00013.
12		(15)	All documents constituting the "written permission[s] from the POC
13		` /	COR" provided to Quinn Emanuel under TIRNE-14-C-00013.
14		(16)	All documents constituting the "publicly available information" and
15			"documents and materials obtained by the POC or examination team" identified in TIRNE-14-C-00013.
16		(17)	All documents constituting the "Plan of Action and Milestones
17		` ′	(POA&M)" identified in TIRNE-14-C-00013.
18		(18)	All documents relating to or referencing the "background
19			investigation[s]" performed in connection with TIRNE-14-C-00013.
20		(19)	All documents relating to or referencing the "security self-assessment" performed in connection with TIRNE-14-C-00013.
21		(20)	All documents constituting the "written deliverables to the COR" under
22		(20)	TIRNE-14-C-00013.
23		(21)	All documents relating to or referencing the "Quality Assurance
24			Surveillance Plan" required under TIRNE-14-C-00013.
25	///		

- (7) All documents provided to Boies Schiller by the IRS in connection with TIRNE-13-C-00031.
- (8) All documents provided to the IRS by Boies Schiller in connection with TIRNE-13-C-00031.
- (9) To the extent not otherwise requested above, all documents constituting Boies Schiller generated work products and workpapers pursuant to TIRNE-13-C-00031.
- (10) To the extent not otherwise requested above, all documents created or maintained by Boies Schiller in connection with TIRNE-13-C-00031.
- 27. Plaintiff's Second FOIA Request was received by the appropriate component of the IRS on March 13, 2015.
- 28. On April 9, 2015, Defendant sent Plaintiff's counsel a letter in connection with Plaintiff's Second FOIA Request ("Defendant's Second April 9, 2015 Letter"). A true and correct copy of Defendant's Second April 9, 2015 Letter is attached hereto as Exhibit E.
- 29. Defendant's Second April 9, 2015 Letter claimed that Defendant was unable to meet the 20 working-day statutory deadline to respond to Plaintiff's Second FOIA Request, and claimed entitlement to a 10-day statutory extension, to April 24, 2015, to "[s]earch for and collect the requested records from other locations[.]" *Id.*, at 1.
- 30. On April 23, 2015, Defendant sent Plaintiff's counsel a second letter in connection with Plaintiff's Second FOIA Request ("Defendant's Second April 23, 2015 Letter"). A true and correct copy of Defendant's Second April 23, 2015 Letter is attached hereto as Exhibit F.
- 31. Defendant's Second April 23, 2015 Letter claimed that Defendant was "still working" on Plaintiff's Second FOIA Request, that Defendant "need[ed] additional time to obtain and review the records[,]" and that Defendant would contact Plaintiff's counsel "by May 28, 2015" if Defendant was still unable to respond to Plaintiff's Second FOIA Request.

To date, Defendant has not responded to Plaintiff's Second FOIA Request.

2	33.	The statutory deadline for Defendant to respond to Plaintiff's Second FOIA
3	Request, Apr	ril 24, 2015, has expired.
4	34.	Because Defendant failed to comply with the FOIA time limit provisions,
5	Plaintiff has	exhausted its administrative remedies in accordance with 5 U.S.C. §
6	552(a)(6)(C)	(i).
7		CAUSES OF ACTION
8		First Cause of Action (Production Under the FOIA)
9	25	
10	35.	Plaintiff asserts and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-34.
11	36.	Plaintiff properly requested records within Defendant's control and possession
12	in accordanc	e with the FOIA.
13	37.	Plaintiff is entitled under the FOIA to access the requested records.
14	38.	Defendant wrongfully withheld the requested records in violation of the FOIA.
15	39.	Plaintiff exhausted its administrative remedies with regard to the wrongfully
16	withheld reco	ords.
17		Second Cause of Action
18		(Violation of the APA)
19	40.	Plaintiff asserts and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-34.
20	41.	Plaintiff properly requested records within Defendant's control in accordance
21	with the FOI	A.
22	42.	Plaintiff is entitled under the FOIA to access the requested records.
23	43.	Defendant's failure to respond to Plaintiff's FOIA Request constitutes agency
24	action unlaw	fully withheld and unreasonably delayed, in violation of the APA. Defendant's
25		

1

32.

1	failure to timely respond is arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, not in accordance with	
2	law, and without observance of procedure required by law, all in violation of the APA.	
3	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
4	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court:	
5	a. declare that Defendant's failure to disclose the records requested by Plaintiff is	
6	unlawful;	
7	b. enjoin defendant from withholding and order Defendant to disclose the	
8	requested records to Plaintiff, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(B);	
9	c. award Plaintiff its costs and reasonable attorney fees, in accordance with 5	
10	U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(E); and	
11	d. grant such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.	
12	DATED this 29 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 2015.	
13	CALFO HARRIGAN LEYH & EAKES LLP	
14	By <u>s/ Patricia A. Eakes</u>	
15	Bys/ Andrea D. Ostrovsky	
16	Patricia A. Eakes, WSBA #18888	
17	Andrea D. Ostrovsky, WSBA #37749 999 Third Avenue, Suite 4400	
	Seattle, WA 98104	
18	Phone: (206) 623-1700 Fax: (206) 623-8717	
19	Email: pattye@calfoharrigan.com	
20	andreao@calfoharrigan.com	
21	BAKER & McKENZIE LLP	
22	Daniel A. Rosen, NYBA #2790442	
23	Pro Hac Vice Application Forthcoming	
	452 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10018	
24	Phone: (212) 626-4272	
25	Fax: (212) 310-1672	
	Email: daniel.rosen@bakermckenzie.com	

# Case 2:15-cv-00850-RSM Document 1 Filed 05/29/15 Page 15 of 15

James M. O'Brien, ILBA #6180576  Pro Hac Vice Application Forthcoming 300 East Randolph Street, Suite 5000 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Phone: (312) 861-8602 Fax: (312) 698-2323
Email: james.m.o'brien@bakermckenzie.com
Attorneys for Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation