



[Pics] Measuring profile subhumanity

Advertise here

[Pics] Measuring profile subhumanity

Thread Modes



Titania Newbie


Posts: 6 Threads: 3 Joined: Mar 2016 Reputation: 10

03-20-2016, 01:32 AM (This post was last modified: 03-20-2016, 10:54 AM by Titania.)


#1

This little casestudy is based on the paper "Photometric analysis of esthetically pleasant and unpleasant facial profile" by Fortes et al. which can be accessed for free here: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24945516 or here http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=s...4000200066

Fortes et al. define a number of measurements (or use previously defined measurements) in an attempt to determine if a profile is either pleasant or unpleasant. To that end they had a sample of profiles judged pleasant or unpleasant by raters and then determined the average value for the previously defined measurements for the pleasant and unpleasant group respectively.



Reference lines - A) Steiner S line; B) Holdaway H line; C) Ricketts E line D) Burstone B line.



Angular measurements and reference lines: A) Total facial convexity angle (G'.Pn.Pg'); B) Facial convexity angle (G'.Sn.Pg'); C) Nasofacial angle (G'-Pg'.N'-Pn); D) Lower third angle (Sn.Me'.C); E) Nasolabial angle (UL.Sn.Co); F) Mentolabial angle (Pg'.B'.LL).



Measures of proportionality used in this study: A) Total vertical proportion (G'-Sn:Sn-Me'); B) Inferior third of the face proportion (Sn-St:St-Me'); C) Nasal height /length proportion (Ala-Pn:N'-Sn); D) Lip-chin proportion (Sn-Gn':Gn'-C).

They used the measurements depicted here: Now clearly some of them are more worthwhile than others. For instance the mentolabial angle can easily be manipulated with dermal fillers, the nasolabial angle can be manipulated with a relatively simple rhinoplasty to rotate the tip upwards and the lower third angle can be drastically altered with any type of genioplasty or chin implant. The most important measurements in my opinion are the Facial convexity angle (FCA) and to a slightly lesser degree the Total facial convexity angle (tFCA).

The FCA incorporates the position of the whole maxilla (at least along the vertical midline) which is extremely hard to alter as well as the mandibular projection and the browridge. Since anterior cheekbone projection is hard to determine from a profile picture without x-ray overlay this includes almost all important information - except the nose. The tFCA includes the nose but in turn sacrifices the midface base.

I argue that with those two angles alone (sub)humanity can be predicted with high reliability. Obvious outliers include deep mentolabial folds, humped noses, skin, submental area etc but those are easily fixable with surgery. Anterior cheekbone projection which is crucial for lower eyelid support cannot be predicted unfortunately.

It is debatable how much reliability can be gained by including Total vertical proportion (TVP) and Inferior third of the face proportion (I3P). While they are clearly important indicators by themselves it seems likely that there is a lot of redundancy between TVP+I3P and (t)FCA. Unfortunately I don't have the sample of profiles Fortes et al. used to determine the correlation coefficient.

As their results show there is a fair bit of overlay between pleasant and unpleasant faces as the difference in averages is well under a standard deviation for either. Attempting to categorize seems rather pointless since the study uses a plain average for unpleasant faces which doesn't account for the fact that two subhumans (one bird and one with severe midface hypoplasia) can cancel each other out more or less. Consequently it's more useful to compare faces to the determined ideal.

Now let's see how many standard deviations a bunch of goodlooking guys stray from the ideal.

I'll just determine FCA and tFCA here since TVP/I3P are already well established. I want to point out that for males the pleasant profiles had a TVP of 0.92 ± 0.09 which will probably result in a few suicides by 1.0+ shortface-subhumans.


The models I picked were from previously collected celebrity/male model profile pics. I didn't exclude any profiles I actually measured from the results.

Ideal

141.60 ± 5.30

168.80 ± 4.44


Shimansky:



$142.7 (+1.1)$

$171.4 (+2.6)$

Gandy:




140.8 (-0.8)
171.5 (+2.7)

O'Pry:



141.2 (-0.4)
168.0 (-0.8)

Delon:



139.0 (-2.6)

165.0 (-3.8)


Depp:



144.0 (+2.4)

169.8 (+1.0)

Reigns:




143.0 (+1.4)

171.0 (+2.2)

Virtually every attractive man (who doesn't look like a boy/woman) is well within the standard deviation for both measurements, even if we allow for 1-2° measuring error. Note how i.e. Gandy who is said to have a large nose is actually very normal in that regard and actually just has a deep nasion + minor lack of jaw projection.

If you are a full standard deviation off the average for pleasant face you're probably subhuman (or at least sub 5 which is the same thing). If your tFCA is under 135 with normal FCA you HAVE to schedule rhino TODAY. If the tFCA is under 135 with FCA in the low 160s or even lower you NEED bimax advancement or at least copegenio. Also learn to permajut.

On the other hand if your tFCA is above 145 and/or your FCA above 173 start mewing and inject androgenic steroids ASAP. Look into reverse pull headgear, megadosing vit c for osteogenesis and LF1/paranasal implants.



GodlyMotherBitch ●
Banned

Posts: 117
Threads: 14
Joined: Mar 2016

Find

03-20-2016, 02:20 AM

#2

How does Shimanksy look aesthetic if he has a recessed chin? I thought chin= everything?

Reply



Iltvyr ●
Mega Super Poster



Posts: 2,234
Threads: 370
Joined: Jul 2015
Reputation: **459**

Find

03-20-2016, 04:43 AM

#3

fca?

Starcrazy Wrote: → (03-08-2016, 07:05 PM)

you're as old as your hairline

Bukowski Wrote: → (04-11-2016, 06:48 PM)


You're as pedo as your hairline

"It is not the hair that you have on your head that counts.. It is the hair that you have on your heart.."

ethnicslayer Wrote: → (02-04-2017, 04:19 PM)

surgery is the only vitamin

Reply



asiancel ●
Godlike Poster



Posts: 11,055
Threads: 156
Joined: Mar 2016
Reputation: **2,990**

Find

03-20-2016, 07:43 AM

#4

gandy bird beak shylock nose

delon's is perfect ratio. the upturned nose tells us that the slant angle is correct and has a good bite, whereas gandy's looks like his whole mouth is receding and flat.

Reply

03-20-2016, 10:06 AM

#5



Rudolph Member



Posts: 68
Threads: 4
Joined: Feb 2016
Reputation: **20**

Find

Good analysis!

Like so many measurements:

1. When you are close to the average values, you have a chance to look handsome.
2. When you are too far away from the averages your profile is f*cked up.

What is interesting: all sample men you provided have some nose and chin prominence: red line does not touch the lips.

If your lips are in front of the red line you are in trouble...

Reply



Titania Newbie

Posts: 6
Threads: 3
Joined: Mar 2016
Reputation: **10**

Find

03-20-2016, 10:47 AM (This post was last modified: 03-20-2016, 10:55 AM by Titania.)

#6

wtf it didn't paste the first half of my post, fixed

@ "Sui Generis II" @ "Iltvyr"

GodlyMotherBitch Wrote: →

(03-20-2016, 02:20 AM)

How does Shimanksy look aesthetic if he has a recessed chin? I thought chin= everything?

He doesn't have a recessed chin, his head is rotated (his frankfort plane is clearly angled)

Reply



Iltvyr Mega Super Poster



Posts: 2,234
Threads: 370
Joined: Jul 2015
Reputation: **459**

Find

03-20-2016, 11:09 AM (This post was last modified: 03-20-2016, 11:10 AM by Iltvyr.)

#7

bottom line: a slightly convex profile is ideal. in the meantime men are meant to have prominent brows and chins, so these theories contradict.

Starcrazy Wrote: →

(03-08-2016, 07:05 PM)

you're as old as your hairline

Bukowski Wrote: →

(04-11-2016, 06:48 PM)

You're as pedo as your hairline


"It is not the hair that you have on your head that counts.. It is the hair that you have on your heart.."

ethnicslayer Wrote: →

(02-04-2017, 04:19 PM)

surgery is the only vitamin

Reply



P.T.Dognum Senior Member



Posts: 749
Threads: 8
Joined: Mar 2016
Reputation: **431**

Find

03-20-2016, 11:22 AM (This post was last modified: 03-20-2016, 11:33 AM by P.T.Dognum.)

#8

Iltvyr Wrote: →

(03-20-2016, 11:09 AM)

bottom line: a slightly convex profile is ideal. in the meantime men are meant to have prominent brows and chins, so these theories contradict.

I don't really see the problem. My face is far too convex and I look subhuman as fuck.




Find

Reply

03-20-2016, 12:05 PM

#9



Rudolph
Member



Posts: 68
Threads: 4
Joined: Feb 2016
Reputation: **20**

Find

lltvyr Wrote: →

(03-20-2016, 11:09 AM)

bottom line: a slightly convex profile is ideal. in the meantime men are meant to have prominent brows and chins, so these theories contradict.

If you could chose one of the following, what would you like to have:

1. Prominent brows and strong chin?

or

2. Convex profile?

Reply

03-20-2016, 12:08 PM

#10



Titania
Newbie

Posts: 6
Threads: 3
Joined: Mar 2016
Reputation: **10**

Find

lltvyr Wrote: →

(03-20-2016, 11:09 AM)

bottom line: a slightly convex profile is ideal. in the meantime men are meant to have prominent brows and chins, so these theories contradict.

Someone like sensdep in this picture here runs a sweet 134 (-7.6) /157 (-11.8) which is clearly subhuman. The fact that the tFCA is so low (and more deviated than the FCA) clearly exposes his recessed mandible.

[Image: ooooo.jpg]


It's not like these angles promote bird tier convexity.

Another interesting application of FCA and tFCA is determining where the chin should be after BSSO/genio. You can just solve for the point where both angles become ideal (assuming fixed nose position which I realize is not a given for bimax advancement but it gives a good idea) or as close to ideal as possible for a predefined area of possible chin positions.

Reply

03-20-2016, 01:01 PM

#11




cliffs?




paulus

Never had a text under my name



Posts: 9,151
Threads: 387
Joined: Dec 2015
Reputation: **3,930**

Find



The bigger the head, the bigger the star...http://youtu.be/qnu_bkvumxU

Reply

03-20-2016, 01:18 PM (This post was last modified: 03-20-2016, 01:50 PM by Iltvyr.)

#12



Iltvyr

Mega Super Poster



Posts: 2,234
Threads: 370
Joined: Jul 2015
Reputation: **459**

Rudolph Wrote: →

(03-20-2016, 12:05 PM)

Iltvyr Wrote: →

(03-20-2016, 11:09 AM)

bottom line: a slightly convex profile is ideal. in the meantime men are meant to have prominent brows and chins, so these theories contradict.

If you could chose one of the following, what would you like to have:

1. Prominent brows and strong chin?

or

2. Convex profile?

obviously convex profile is usually because of deformities of course. i like prominent features, so the brow and the chin probably, but not to a degree where the profile becomes concave. concave is worse than convex i guess

i'm running this, though. is this okay?



Starcrazy Wrote: → (03-08-2016, 07:05 PM)
you're as old as your hairline

Bukowski Wrote: → (04-11-2016, 06:48 PM)
You're as pedo as your hairline

"It is not the hair that you have on your head that counts.. It is the hair that you have on your heart.."

ethnicslayer Wrote: → (02-04-2017, 04:19 PM)
surgery is the only vitamin

Find

Reply



Iltvyr ●
Mega Super Poster



Posts: 2,234
Threads: 370
Joined: Jul 2015
Reputation: **459**

03-20-2016, 04:09 PM

#13

i need more nose

Starcrazy Wrote: → (03-08-2016, 07:05 PM)
you're as old as your hairline


Bukowski Wrote: → (04-11-2016, 06:48 PM)
You're as pedo as your hairline

"It is not the hair that you have on your head that counts.. It is the hair that you have on your heart.."

ethnicslayer Wrote: → (02-04-2017, 04:19 PM)

03-20-2016, 04:09 PM

#14



Rudolph

Member



Posts: 68
Threads: 4
Joined: Feb 2016
Reputation: **20**

(03-20-2016, 01:18 PM)

obviously convex profile is usually because of deformities of course. i like prominent features, so the brow and the chin probably, but not to a degree where the profile becomes concave. concave is worse than convex i guess Wrote: →

i'm running this, though. is this okay?



Hard to say from one picture.

Best way to analyze your face is a cephalogram with bone structure and soft skin as a shadow.

You must normalize the angles e.g. by measuring against the Sella to Nasion line.

Many people tilt their head upwards or downwards and this tends to give a "chocolate side" impression.

Seems a little convex or concave does not hurt.

Big deviations from the averages usually indicate some kind of upper or lower jaw deformity.

Seems all men considered handsome have either a straight orthognatic profile or the so called anteface (where upper jaw is protruded, but lower jaw, too).

Seems harmony of jaws (upper and lower jaw well aligned, SNA and SNB in Steiner analysis around 3 degrees apart) is very important.

Makes sense from an evolutionary perspective: as men look for the magic waist to hip ratio in women, women probably look for men with great facial bones.

A "bird face person" would have had serious trouble in paleo times because of breathing problems, infections and snoring...

Find

Reply



Pip-boy 3000 ●
Senior Member



Posts: 547
Threads: 14
Joined: Dec 2015
Reputation: **190**

Find

05-17-2016, 08:18 PM

#15

Mine is

(-0.40)
(+0.80)

Reply



lltvyr ●
Mega Super Poster



Posts: 2,234
Threads: 370
Joined: Jul 2015
Reputation: **459**

Find

05-17-2016, 08:46 PM

#16

so a protruding chin is bad

Starcrazy Wrote: →

(03-08-2016, 07:05 PM)

you're as old as your hairline

Bukowski Wrote: →

(04-11-2016, 06:48 PM)

You're as pedo as your hairline

"It is not the hair that you have on your head that counts.. It is the hair that you have on your heart.."

ethnicslayer Wrote: →

(02-04-2017, 04:19 PM)

surgery is the only vitamin

Reply



Pip-boy 3000 ●
Senior Member



Posts: 547
Threads: 14
Joined: Dec 2015
Reputation: **190**

Find

05-17-2016, 09:22 PM

#17

lltvyr Wrote: →

(05-17-2016, 08:46 PM)

so a protruding chin is bad

Strong geometry


Reply



05-17-2016, 09:26 PM

#18

TLDR Strong jaw, chin, eyebrows and cheekbones are more attractive



Tall Dark and Handsome

Senior Member



Posts: 903
Threads: 68
Joined: Jan 2016
Reputation: **476**

Find

TLDR Attractive men have better profiles

Reply



Simptastic

Legit Nigga



Posts: 4,571
Threads: 47
Joined: Mar 2017
Reputation: **3,756**

Find

07-18-2017, 08:49 AM

#19

Holy shittaaadaaa



Reply

« Next Oldest | Next Newest »

Enter Keywords

Search Thread

Subscribe to this thread

Forum Jump: -- Looksmxing

Go